

**DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL**  
**January 22, 2018**

**SUMMARY**

**MEMBERS PRESENT:** Josh Ashenmiller, Dana Clahane, Cathy Dunne, Craig Goralski, Manjit Grewall, Cherry Li-Bugg, Deborah Ludford, Rod Lusch, Cheryl Marshall, Tina McClurkin, Greg Schulz, JoAnna Schilling, Kai Stearns Moore, Ty Volcy, Fred Williams, and Eldon Young.

**VISITORS:** Chelsea Salisbury

Chancellor Cheryl Marshall called the meeting to order at 2:05 p.m.

**CONSENT CALENDAR & SUMMARY**

**Consent Items:** All consent calendar agenda items were approved.

**Summary:** The summary of the November 27, 2017, meeting was approved.

**STRATEGIC GOALS & PLANNING**

**Anaheim Pledge/Promise Program Status Update:** Chancellor Marshall shared the following points with the group:

Anaheim Pledge: There is a group in place that is working on the criteria related to the Pledge and it is open to anyone interested in participating. She shared notes from the group's December 1 meeting, which outlines the targets, point person(s), and timelines.

Dual Enrollment: A dual enrollment meeting is scheduled for February 9 with participants from across the District scheduled to attend.

Promise Program: The Governor's Proposed Budget includes promise program funding.

**Budget Update:** The Council on Budget and Facilities is currently working on recommendations related to the resource allocation model, use of soft money for hiring, and identifying centralized and decentralized costs that will be will presented to DCC in the future.

A breakdown of the Governor's proposed budget and funding formula was provided which included the following:

Governor's Budget Proposal: The big wins for the District include:

- 4% Prop. 98 funding increase
- 2.51% COLA including categorical programs
- 1% growth funding
- \$46 million for the new College Promise Program
- \$275 million for facilities and equipment
- \$5 million for the development of a data system for AEBG

Proposed Funding Formula: A transition from an FTES based formula to a performance/outcome based formula with three major components.

- **50% Base Grants** – Based on FTES
- **25% Supplemental Grants** – Based on the number of low-income student enrolled (as measured by Pell Grant recipients and/or California College Promise Grant recipients)
- **25% Student Success Incentive Grants** – Based on performance measures (as measured by the number of degrees and certificates and the number of students who complete degrees and certificates in three years or less)
- **“Hold Harmless” Provision** – Apportionment of \$175 million is proposed to help transition the system to the new funding formula
- **Incorporation of *Vision for Success*** – Required incorporation into local EMPs

Proposed Online College:

- \$100 million in one-time funds and \$20 million in ongoing funds allocated.
- Established and to be run out of the State Chancellor’s Office with separate accreditation and its own Board of Trustees. All FTES generated by the online college will be retained at the State Chancellor’s Office.
- Many unknowns exist including how competition with existing programs will be avoided, how the target population differs from those served by noncredit and adult education, how it will be accredited, and how quality will be assessed.

Currently there exists more questions than answers, but trailer bill language will be available in the next 2-3 weeks. A CEO Workgroup has been formed, which Chancellor Marshall is serving on, to provide recommendations to the State Chancellor’s Office on the funding formula components. A CBO Workgroup has also been convened and they will review simulations in order to better flesh out the details, with scenarios expected to be released in March.

Other Areas to Watch:

- Consolidation of categorical programs is expected and could impact SSSP, Basic Skills, Equity, and others.
- No current funding for full time or part time faculty.
- No base increase in the current proposed budget.

**Subcommittee Report: Technology Coordinating Council**: Deborah Ludford, District Director of Information Services, reported that the work of the Technology Coordinating Council has been focused on the six technology related items that appeared on this month’s DCC agenda, in addition to items related to the network refresh project and planning security related training opportunities.

**Winter Intersession and Next Steps**: Dr. Marshall provided the transcribed notes from the December 18 Winter intersession planning discussion, and noted that during that meeting the group was divided between having a 2020 Winter intersession pilot and not having one at all. She reiterated that a lot of work needs to be done in order to be ready for a 2020 pilot, including having a broad dialogue that includes student input. Further discussion will also take place at Districtwide Enrollment Management Advisory Committee (DEMAC) meetings.

**Organizational Structure Analysis:** The Collaborative Brain Trust (CBT), the consultants retained by the District, will conduct the organizational structure analysis in order to address management career ladders, retention, workloads, and help the District design for the future by creating more effectively and efficiently. Currently a comparison to similar size districts and colleges is underway, and CBT will conduct individual and group interviews on February 12-14. Dr. Marshall shared the finalized interview list and stated that a districtwide survey would be distributed to all employees two weeks before or after the visit. As part of the update, she also shared that the Supplemental Early Retirement Plan (SERP) deadline is February 5 and the District has received 21 letters to date.

**Strong Workforce Initiative Update:** A copy of the Los Angeles Orange County Regional Consortium (LAOCRC) Strong Workforce Round 2 regional project proposals was distributed. It was noted that one or more of our schools are involved in all 13 projects, with lead roles in two projects. Within the District, the marketing campus proposal and the internship proposal were selected for districtwide use.

## **OPERATIONAL REVIEW**

**Website Guidelines Annual Review and Update:** By consent vote, the revised Website Guidelines were approved for use by all who create or hire website developers across the District.

**Mobile Computing Device Guidelines:** By consent vote, the revised Mobile Computing Device Guidelines were approved for use by all who use mobile devices in the District.

**Social Media Guidelines:** By consent vote, the Social Media Guidelines document was reaffirmed.

**Computer Related Equipment Replacement Plan:** By consent vote, the "Computer Related Equipment Replacement Plan at the North Orange County Community College District" was approved.

**NOCCCD Instructional Technology Standards for Classroom Multimedia:** By consent vote, the "NOCCCD Instructional Technology Standards for Classroom Multimedia Systems" document was approved.

**Systems Technology Projects Approval Process:** Deborah Ludford, District Director of Information Services, presented a revised Systems Technology Projects Approval Process document which was revised to include clarifying language indicating that campus projects over \$5,000, which require District Services assistance or that could be used by others in the District, can be brought forward to Chancellor's Staff directly from the campuses. **There was consensus to approve the Systems Technology Projects Approval Process document.**

## **OTHER ITEMS**

**Introduction:** Kai Stearns Moore introduced Chelsea Salisbury as the new Communications Coordinator in the District Office of Public Affairs.

**ADJOURNMENT:** The meeting adjourned at 3:29 p.m.