

DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL
February 24, 2020

SUMMARY

MEMBERS PRESENT: Josh Ashenmiller, Luisa De Santiago, Christie Diep, Cathy Dunne, Lisa Gaetje, Craig Goralski, Manjit Grewall, Cherry Li-Bugg, Cheryl Marshall, Tina McClurkin, Dawnmarie Neate, Arturo Ocampo, Kim Orlijan, Jeremy Peters, Valentina Purtell, Irma Ramos, Greg Schulz, Kai Stearns Moore, Jason Thibodeau, Joseph Vasquez, and Fred Williams.

VISITORS:

Chancellor Cheryl Marshall called the meeting to order at 2:00 p.m.

CONSENT CALENDAR & SUMMARY

Consent Items: The agenda contained no consent items

Summary: The summary of the January 27, 2020, meeting was approved as submitted.

STRATEGIC GOALS & PLANNING

Schedule/Process for Major Topics: Chancellor Marshall provided an updated schedule. During the discussion, Dr. Marshall asked if the campuses were ready to share reports on their sustainability efforts and it was agreed to push the dates out and reevaluate when the State Chancellor's Office deadline is announced.

One-time Funding Recommendation: Dr. Marshall reminded the group that at its February 10, 2020 Council on Budget and Facilities (CBF) meeting, the group recommended approving the one-time funding listing, excluding line items for "Student Basic Needs" of \$15,000,000 and "Educational Master Plan Implementation" of \$18,000,000. CBF requested that the process for use of the two excluded line items be developed, reviewed and approved prior to approving the allocations. CBF recommended that DCC consider the previously approved recommendation for Capital Outlay expenses totaling \$15,457,000 for Cypress College and Fullerton College which will be deducted from the Student Basic Needs or Master Plan allocations.

During the discussion, DCC reviewed and discussed allocating \$41,500,000 of one-time funds to the line items noted (excluding Student Basic Needs and the Educational Master Plan Implementation) and the previous CBF recommendation to fully fund the Colleges' Capital Outlay expenses for facilities upgrades and instructional equipment.

The general consensus of the membership was to support the Capital Outlay allocation in order to address clearly identified urgent needs that were vetted through the campus process. NOCE was not included in the allocation, but would identify a list that would be vetted internally before being presented to CBF. It was suggested that the dollars to fund the lists –both colleges and NOCE's future list– be taken equally from the original proposed allocations for student basic needs and the Educational and Facilities Master Plan (EFMP).

Vice Chancellor Fred Williams stated that CBF had concerns with the process for the student basic needs and the Educational and Facilities Master Plan (EFMP) categories, but not necessarily the items themselves. When discussing the EFMP, some members stated that it had gone through quite a bit of vetting, that the current one-time funds are those that the District would not receive again, that pilot projects would be a low capital investment that would yield a high impact, and was needed in order to identify local dollars for the Anaheim Campus which receives no state funding. While others felt that the EFMP was a list of vaguely defined projects, projects that have not been finalized and will eventually change, that the EFMP is aspirational and needs to identify a budget and concrete ideas on how the money will be spent.

During the student basic needs discussion it was noted that while CBF did not come to a consensus on the \$15 million allocation, the campuses did identify prioritization lists and student basic needs were at the top of the list and that should not be ignored. Members expressed the need to develop a process, but not to discount the category simply because a process has not been developed yet.

Vice Chancellor Williams noted that the funding would materialize next fiscal year via hold harmless dollars and that the Board would need to commit the funding if the money materializes. In light of that, it was suggested not allocating it now would provide more flexibility and time to identify the best use for it. Chancellor Marshall recommended that the DCC agreed upon items be sent to the Board for approval, and that the remaining two items be flushed out and taken to the Board separately when there is agreement.

Dr. Marshall requested ideas for the process or things that would fit into each of the student basic needs and the Educational and Facilities Master Plan (EFMP) categories in order to bring them back to a future DCC meeting. The following suggestions were made:

Student Basic Needs Topics:

- Pilot program for free NOCE student bus passes
- Housing, transportation and food insecurities
- NOCE Health Center
- Mental health services on campuses
- Free/reduced breakfast or lunch program
- Utilizing existing district properties for student housing
- Consultant to identify the legalities and provide an assessment of ongoing costs for housing
- Child care

Student Basic Needs Process:

- Same as the campus process for capital projects with the burden of proof on the requestor
- Mechanism to address competing proposals
- Factor in the process for the NOCE multiple campuses and District Services
- Identify a theme area, attach dollars to it, and trust each budget center to honor their process

EFMP Topics:

- Pilot projects identified by the process
- NOCE Wilshire and Cypress Center projects that do not have funding attached to them
- Anaheim Campus project funding

EFMP Process:

- Validate or reevaluate the projects that are already in the pipeline
- Linking the EFMP to the District's Strategic Plan and allocations

The discussion concluded with Chancellor Marshall stating that the item would return for further discussion and consideration by DCC.

POLICY

Board Policy and Administrative Procedure Revisions – 6-year Review Cycle Revisions:

DCC reviewed the following board policies and administrative procedures and the following feedback was received.

- BP 3550, Drug-Free Environment and Drug Prevention Program
- AP 3550, Drug-Free Environment and Drug Prevention Program
- BP 3560, Alcoholic Beverages
- AP 3560, Alcoholic Beverages
- AP 3570, Smoking on Campus
- BP 3600, Auxiliary Organizations
- AP 3600, Auxiliary Organizations

Subsequent to the discussion on AP 3570 regarding current discussions and noting that the procedure can be revisited at any time, there was **consensus to approve all of the administrative procedures and post them to the District website, and to approve all of the board policies and forward them to the Board of Trustees for their consideration.**

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting adjourned at 3:07 p.m.

NEXT MEETING: March 23, 2020