

# COUNCIL ON BUDGET AND FACILITIES

April 9, 2018

## APPROVED SUMMARY

**Members Present:** Josh Ashenmiller, Tonya Cobb, Terry Cox, Danielle Davy, Emily Day, Raine Hambly, Elaine Loayza, Rod Lusch, Tina McClurkin, Irma Ramos, Bryan Seiling & Fred Williams

**Members Absent:** Rodrigo Garcia, Cherry Li-Bugg, Kashu Vyas & Marcus Wilson

**Guests:** Joyce Carrigan, Natalya Dollar, Brendon Kirby, Pete Snyder & Rick Williams

**Call to Order:** Irma Ramos called the meeting to order at 2:08 pm.

- I. **Summary:** The summary of the March 12, 2018, was accepted.
- II. **Budget Update:** Fred Williams shared updates on several budget-related items.

**Budget Assumptions:** Processing of revenues has been delayed due to the potential implementation of the new funding model, as a result processing of assumptions is also still in-progress. The new funding model implementation date has yet to be determined, but it is likely that the SB361 model will be used until further notice. In order to get the information distributed in a timely manner, the District will tentatively use the SB361 model along with the 2018-19 FTES figures provided by the campus Vice Presidents and NOCE Deans. The tentative assumptions will also include COLA increases. The P-1 figures show an increase of 2% in terms of actual FTES compared to the targets set, but the District is down 352.58 FTES in terms of the prior year figures. Confirmation that flex was included in the P-1 report has been made, but further research by the FTES Targets Subcommittee will determine whether or not it has been reported correctly. In reviewing the preliminary numbers, a \$5-6 million deficit is expected, not including the over-expenditures that will be made for Extended Day.

**New Funding Model:** Upcoming simulations may involve removing noncredit from the new model with funding coming entirely from FTES. This could be positive in the short run, but the biggest concern is that separating noncredit from the model could make it easier to cut completely in the future. There is not a lot of support for noncredit in Sacramento, but the District is pushing hard to change this. When visiting the District, the Vice Chancellor for College Finance and Facilities Planning echoed this sentiment. He also mentioned that it may be a difficult task to have the COLA included in the Hold Harmless provision. Another change that the District wants included in the model is funding for transfer-ready students and not just transfer students. No new simulations are expected until at least May. NOCCCD United Faculty created a resolution opposing the new funding formula and the online college, but further information is unknown at this time.

- III. **Bond Update:** A facilities update for each campus was provided.

Anaheim Campus – Rick Williams shared that the 7<sup>th</sup> floor construction is one week ahead of schedule and the move from the 10<sup>th</sup> floor is on-schedule for June 2018. The Upper Deck/1<sup>st</sup>

Floor Roof project will undergo destructive testing that analyzes waterproofing, expansion joints and any weak points on the roof. The parking deck was last waterproofed ten years ago, but there is evidence of unusual wear and tear at this point. This will continue to be discussed as part of the Master Planning process.

Questions:

1. Will bond funds cover the upper deck resurfacing or patchwork?

This will be a partial bond project. Similar work will be done on the piazza at Cypress College, which will be funded using state and college scheduled maintenance dollars.

Cypress College – Emily Day shared that the VRC and SAC projects have been submitted to DSA, and once reviewed the bidding process for the work will begin. 95% Construction documents and estimates are expected between April and May for both projects. Parking Lot 5 is in the process of a rebid, and the job walk for the prequalified bidders has already commenced. In the future, one of the projects that will be submitted for state funding is the Fine Arts remodel, which will be a state match project and will utilize the existing SEM building for swing space.

Fullerton Campus – Rick Williams announced that the kick-off meetings for the new Instructional Building will happen during the week of April 9, 2018. This project marks the first time the District will utilize the Design-Build Delivery method. The preliminary design approval for the 300/500 buildings has gone to the state, with approval expected in early July 2018. In the future, one of the projects planned for submittal as an Initial Project Proposal (IPP) is the Performing Arts project, which will be a new building across from the existing complex (to be demolished after completion of the new structure). This will be submitted to the state in June 2018, with an expected 8-10 year approval process.

Questions:

2. Does the new Instructional Building have a building user-group assigned?

No. Currently the campus is working with various deans to have them involved in the discussions of which department will be housed in the new building.

3. When will parking be effected by the 300/500 Buildings' construction projects?

This is likely at least one year from now, as the design-phase has yet to begin.

IV. **Other Items (Discussion):**

*Comment: In regards to the Resource Allocation Workgroup, please avoid scheduling meetings during peak teaching hours. Friday mornings and afternoons work better for faculty participation.*

**Adjournment:** The meeting was adjourned at 2:49 p.m.

**Next Meeting:** May 14, 2018