Additional Information May 14, 2019 Board Meeting

The following additional information was provided regarding the May 14 Board meeting agenda:

Item 3.a, Purchase Order Listing:

- 1. <u>P0130927</u>, Presidential General Election Trustee Area 2 Fee: Please explain. **The fee is for** the November 2018 General Election for Trustee Jeff Brown, and should have been noted as Trustee Area 6.
- 2. P0130980, Pedestrian Walkway Installation: What area of the campus is the pedestrian walkway for? This walkway is located across from the SEM construction site along College Drive. Students were creating a dangerous situation to pedestrians and drivers by walking in the roadway; this new pathway allows them to have a continuous sidewalk from the parking in Lot 6 to Lot 9 and cross safely into onto the campus.
- 3. P0131121, K-12 Community College Crosswalk: Explanation? As part of a regional Strong Workforce Project, Cypress College entered into an agreement for services with the Orange County Superintendent of Schools that was NOCCCD Board approved on March 12, 2019, Item 3.i. These services support the Orange County Department of Education's part in creating the online K12 to Community College Crosswalk project, connecting high school career education pathways with community college pathways. This project, recently re-named "Program Finder," has been funded for three-years by the Orange County Regional Consortium, and the pilot database is currently being tested with Orange County high school teachers and their students.

Item 3.j, Purchase of Real Property:

- 1. Following the purchase, will design plans include landscaping around perimeter, shade trees? The existing parking plan was recently approved by the City of Fullerton. Currently, there are no other plans for site modifications.
- 2. A couple of the Whereas statements indicate no environmental impact, but can we plan to improve the aesthetics on the site so we go "beyond asphalt and striping?" All paved areas are being utilized for drive aisles and parking stalls. Our goal was to maximize the number of parking stalls available to help address parking demand. Additional landscaping would likely reduce the number parking stalls on the property. Although there are no plans at this time to go beyond asphalt and striping, we will explore opportunities for modest landscaping while preserving the number of parking spaces that are currently being utilized.

Item 3.k, Bid Acceptance for Sale of Property:

- 1. Do we know if we are guaranteed to be on the agenda for the next BOG's meeting? There is no guarantee that the waiver would be on the agenda for the next BOG's meeting. While District staff and our counsel believe that a waiver should be granted by the Board of Governors, the Vice Chancellor at the Chancellor's Office has not been supportive of the waiver.
- 2. The summary about the bid does not mention if the bids require the moving of the buildings as well as purchase of the property. Could you please verify if the proposed bid does or does

Additional Information May 14, 2019 Board Meeting

not include the moving of the buildings. Yes, this bid has the same conditions as before, which requires the moving of the buildings as well as the purchase of the property.

Item 3.I, Rejection of Proposals for Network Refresh:

- 1. There is no explanation as to why? Should we have a reason? Since there is a significant public works component involving trenching and laying cable (\$4.5 million out of a \$16 million project), a different process was required. We are currently considering whether the project could be awarded under a California Multiple Award Schedule (CMAS) contract for the cabling component.
- 2. We received a document from one of the applicant's proposals with no explanation as to what the board's role should be in evaluating it. The Board does not need to evaluate any proposals since we are rejecting all bids.

Item 3.m, Agreement with Pathways of Hope:

- 1. I would suggest that we describe our students as "students who experience food insecurity" instead of "food insecure students." Thank you for this suggestion. We will incorporate this wording moving forward.
- 2. In the case that a student needs housing, do we have a sense of how quickly they can be placed into a shelter or some form of stable housing? Pathways of Hope's (POH) role will be to refer students to outreach/assessment services. POH will provide guidance to resources in the community where housing services are available. Students will need to apply and go through the regular processes, but we hope having an advocate on each campus will help explore a deeper relationship where more acute housing services are made available for students and help move the process forward more quickly.
- 3. Does the agreement include periodic evaluation of services provided? Since the current agreement will be year to year, we will be evaluating the effectiveness of these services each year before requesting approval for a second year agreement.

Item 3.o, Amendment to Consulting Services Agreement with Thunderbird Leadership:

1. Why do we need a consultant to plan, lead, and document a town hall meeting? NOCCCD holds meetings all the time. This seems like something that District staff should be able to do. The idea of a districtwide IT town hall came from the IT staff because they still have questions and/or concerns about the District wide IT Assessment Project. This will provide a good opportunity for districtwide IT staff to get together, have open discussions about the project and help shape the contour of the project so that it will better serve the District's needs and meet the expectation of the IT staff districtwide.

Item 3.q, Addendum to Cypress College Facilities Master Plan EIR Report for the SEM Building:

1. What are the "acceptable and established limits?" Do we have the assurance that 30-50 years from now this evaluation will still be valid? The acceptable and established limits were defined in the original Cypress College Facilities Master Plan Program EIR (pEIR), approved by the Board on December 8, 2015. The addendum prepared by Dudek determined that the noise impacts and noise mitigation efforts used during

Additional Information May 14, 2019 Board Meeting

project construction and proposed by the structural engineering contractor will be temporary. The validity of the evaluation will not be affected as the Science Engineering Math project (SEM) is scheduled to be completed within 24 months with the majority of deep foundation work completed in the first quarter of the project. We do not expect another addendum once the deep foundation work is complete and the noise levels subside.

Item 4.a, Vision for Success Local Goal Setting:

1. Could someone speak to the inconsistency from the State Chancellor's Office? The State-wide goals for various categories range from 20-35% yet the proposed funding formulas according to the May revise caps advances at 10%? I realize this is a misconnect from the State Chancellor's Office and not from NOCCCD, I just want to know the District's thoughts regarding this. Completion of the Vision for Success goals are targeted for 2022. Limiting the funding for performance metrics to 10% each year will have a dampening effect on our ability to contribute to the State-wide goals. The Vision for Success goals appear to be aspirational, although we will most likely be held accountable for these local plans.

Item 5.a, Academic Personnel, Job Description for Associate Dean (Division):

1. How is this job description different from the Division Dean description? Since there have been questions about the need, could you provide some additional information regarding span of control, how job duties are divided, and how need is defined? The job description has an assortment of responsible and complex job duties. This position will provide management level support to the division dean. This classification was designed to work directly with faculty regarding evaluations, academic program development, curriculum, scheduling, and student petitions.