
ADDENDUM #2

RFQ #2122-11, Architectural Services

This Addendum forms a part of the RFQ Documents and modifies the original RFQ Documents.

I. REQUEST FOR INFORMATION:

1. As you are likely aware, many projects went dormant at the onset of the pandemic in March 2020, which delayed completion for many projects. Smaller firms that aren't able to produce the same volume of work as larger firms will be hard pressed to show change order history for three California Community College projects in the last two years. Might NOCCCD be willing to consider broadening this window to five years rather than two?
 - A. ***6/G: "Include your Firm's change order history and a summary of change orders for three (3) California community college projects which were completed over the last ~~two (2)~~ Five (5) years".***
2. Is NOCCCD's architectural services contract the only way an architectural firm can be considered for any of the district's projects, even the extremely small projects? (We do understand that everyone can compete for your Design-Build opportunities.) We are interested in the smaller projects that our competitors are unable/unwilling to take on. However, we don't have the portfolio to compete successfully on this RFQ.
 - A. ***Per the RFQ, the Architectural Pool, once established, will be utilized by the District for selection of architects on all upcoming projects (except design-build). The project scales will range widely from small to large. The District reserves the right to request proposals from outside of the Pool of services at any time and for any reason deemed necessary by the District.***
3. Should we provide qualifications for only the Architectural team, or is the District looking for a full multi-disciplinary consultant team? If looking for a full team, may we provide multiple firms per discipline to account for possible variations in project scopes? Is it enough to provide core consultants (e.g. MEP/fire protection, civil, structural, landscape, and IT) and add specialty consultants to the team as needed depending on requirements from future RFPs?
 - A. ***Architectural firms need only to provide their architectural team qualifications but also they need to list their core consultants and distinguish those that are in house and the ones that are outside the firm and for those particular one's for how long they have been working with them. Specialty consultants to the team can be added as needed depending on requirements from future RFPs.***
4. Will the District accept Reviewed Financial Statements or alternative Financial Documentation?
 - A. ***A CPA certified "compilation" or "review" balance sheet is acceptable***

END OF ADDENDUM #2