
ADDENDUM #2
BID #1718-13, Fullerton College Design-Build Instructional Building and Chiller Plant Expansion

This Addendum forms a part of the Contract Documents and modifies the original Contract Documents. Acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in space provided on the Bid Proposal Form. Failure to acknowledge may subject Bidder to disqualification.

REVISION: Proposal submittal due date has been extended to Friday, January 19, 2017 at 2:00 PM.

Attachments:

- a. 2011 Master Plan for Fullerton College.
- b. **Revised Attachment 2A – Fullerton College Utility Mapping.** The attachment has been updated to capture the most recent information available.
- c. **Topographic survey files in pdf and dwg format for the Instructional Building site.**
- d. **Revised Attachment 3A – Scoring Sheet for Pre-Qualification Questionnaire.**

Questions:

The following are questions received to date from potential respondents and corresponding answers:

Q1. Are there Design Guidelines for Architecture (Material and Form)?

A1. The District Design Standards are the only existing related document at this time. There are also elements of architectural design to comply with CEQA identified in the Design Criteria documents.

Q2. Are there Design Guidelines for Landscape?

A2. There are no design guidelines for landscape. However, the 2011 Facilities Master Plan (Attachment a to this Addendum) contains recommended site improvements that the Design-Builder may use as a guideline for general landscape planning and design approach. The East Quad as presented in the Master Plan is the current location of the Instructional Building site and will therefore have significant impact on what had been proposed for this area in the 2011 Master Plan with respect to landscaping.

Q3. Are there circulation studies/ plans for the campus?

A3. The existing pedestrian circulation is identified in the 2011 Master Plan on pages 5-86 and 5-87 (Attachment a above). Vehicular circulation recommendations in the 2011 Master Plan can be found on page 5-129. Recommended bicycle circulation can be found on page 5-135. The recommended pedestrian circulation is shown on page 5-137.

Q4. Are the Chancellor's Offices standards being utilized for program space?

- A4.** For program space, use the Criteria space programming in Attachment 2 as the highest priority. The System Office standards can be used as a secondary resource for spaces that may not be programmed.
- Q5.** Are Multi-Purpose activities anticipated adjacent to this building in public realm space?
- A5.** None are currently planned.
- Q6.** Do we need to maintain 30' or 26' on the North/South circulation on the West edge of the site?
- A6.** This is a State Fire Marshall issue for fire lane access and will need to be addressed as part of the project design.
- Q7.** How will the scoring of adding additional sub-consultants be affected? Per Attachment 3: Prequalification Questionnaire, the table of contents Questions correspond to the following questions listed, however, when compared to Attachment 3A Pre-Qualification Questionnaire Scoresheet, the numbered questions do not add up. For example, G11-G13, J11-J13, and P24-P26 are not asked in the questionnaire, but listed in the point scale.
- A7.** The scoresheet has been revised to reflect the Pre-Qualification questionnaire (Attachment d to this Addendum). Some of the previous questions have been moved from the scored questions to pass/fail criteria elsewhere in the package.
- Q8.** Is Attachment 5 included in page count?
- A8.** The required cost and price elements of the proposal are not included in the page count.
- Q9.** Are resumes included in page count?
- A9.** Yes.
- Q10.** In Attachment 2, page 17-21 listed requirements for Information Technology Rooms yet the program table (page 2) named one AV/TV room at 300SF. Confirm if more area is required for IT.
- A.10.** The AV/TV room is space to support the academic program and is not a BDF or IDF. Requirements for BDF and IDF rooms are described in Attachment 2G of the RFQ/P.
- Q11.** Does the RFQ require ORIGINAL signatures?
- A11.** Original or verified electronic signatures are acceptable.
- Q12.** Will the district be able to provide the data/com connection and equipment (fiber backbone, switch, wireless, etc.) for the DBE's office trailers? The GC template seems silent in this regard.
- A12.** If the field construction office is close proximity to the existing Parking Lot B2, Fullerton College can provide an up-link port in the nearest Telecom Room (TR) to connect to. The Design-Builder will need to provide necessary cabling connection (coax, point-to-point wireless, or fiber) to the TR from the

trailer. They also need to provide their own network switches and necessary computer equipment necessary in the trailer.

Q13. Per Attachment 1A, section 11.8.7, Performance and Payment Bond premiums are to be included in the Contract Sum. There is not a specific section for that cost to be shown on Attachment 5B. Confirm bond costs should be included in Section 2b "All Other General Conditions Costs" on Attachment 5B.

A13. Bond premium costs should be included in the "All Other General Conditions Costs" in Attachment 5B.

Q14. Confirm a bid bond is not required.

A14. A bid bond will not be required.

Q15. Are any PDF or CAD plans available of the two proposed sites on campus?

A15. Yes, but only for the Instructional Building. See Attachment 3 of this addendum.

Q16. We would like to request the bid date be moved to January 26th due to the proximity to the end of year holidays.

A16. The District cannot extend the proposal submittal date to January 26th, but will extend it to **Friday, January 19th at 2:00 PM.**

Q17. The Prequalification Questionnaire does not contain a section for "Other" design consultants. Are we required to include a Landscape Architect in the Prequalification, if so what section should be utilized for this?

A17. Please use Sections H, I and J of the Pre-Qualification Questionnaire for other design consultants whether they are engineers, or some other discipline.

Q18. On Page 8 of RFP Attachment 2, it states "A topographic survey of the project site has been completed and is available as Attachment 2B". The topographic survey provided in Attachment 2B appears to be the aerial survey and it is not a field survey required for the design. Please clarify if the District will provide a field survey for the design team.

A18. In the RFP, Table of Content it states that "Attachments 2A, 2A1, 2A2 - Existing Campus Utilities. Attachment 2A is for the entire Campus Existing Utilities while 2A1, 2A2 contain specific information for the Fullerton College Instructional Building Site Plan Model with Layers Control. These files also contain the topographic survey, GPR & underground utilities in PDF & in CAD formats.

Specific files have been uploaded as part of this addendum in pdf and dwg formats – see #3 in Attachments above in this addendum.

Q19. Reference Attachment No. 5, Item II.C – *Non-Price Factors, 1 -Technical Expertise, Firm Experience, b - Similar Projects*: The first sentence in the item reads "*Similar Projects - List similar experience in similar situations as Design Builder.* Is it the intent that these "similar projects" be only those of the Design Builder or to also include the Architect's similar projects, either together with the Design Builder or independently? Please clarify.

A19. The intent is for the design-builder to demonstrate the experience they have had on similar projects. Similar projects successfully completed together via design-build will be viewed most favorably. Similar projects for the Architect and Builder that demonstrate past experience whether alone or as part of an integrated team are acceptable.

Q20. Reference Attachment No. 5, Item II.C – *Non-Price Factors, 1 -Technical Expertise, Firm Experience, c - Other Projects*: The first sentence in the item reads “*Other Projects - List other projects that demonstrate the firm’s experience in complex projects.* Is it the intent that these “other projects” be the Architect’s projects, the General Contractor’s projects, other projects done jointly by both firms or any combination of these? Please clarify.

A20. The intent is for the design-builder to demonstrate the experience they have had on similar and/or complex projects. Complex projects successfully completed together via design-build will be viewed most favorably. Complex project examples for the Architect and Builder that demonstrate past experience whether alone or as part of an integrated team are acceptable.

Q21. Reference Attachment No. 5, Item II.C – *Non-Price Factors, 1 - Technical Expertise, Firm and Team References*: The first sentence in the item reads “*For each member firm, list at least one contact on the project owner’s team for each of the projects listed above in the Similar Projects lists.* Does the term “*Similar Projects*” refer to item C.1.b. under “*Firm Experience*” or does it refer to something else? Please clarify.

A21. It refers to the similar projects under **Firm Experience**.

Q22. We were interested in bidding on the Design-Build of Instructional Building and Central Plant Expansion Project at Fullerton College. If we’re bidding as a sub-contractor, do we need to pre-qualify?

A22. Design-Build entities are encouraged to pre-qualify their trade partners as part of the proposal process. Depending on the scope of work you intend to perform, you will need to contact prospective design-build entities to inquire about opportunities on their teams as a listed trade partner or potential bid opportunity later in the project.

END OF ADDENDUM #2