



District Consultation Council Meeting

January 22, 2024

2:00 p.m.

Anaheim Campus Resource Library (Room 1025)

**Videoconferencing participation available from the Cypress College President's Conference Room
and the Fullerton College President's Conference Room B**

AGENDA

MEETING SUMMARY

1. November 27, 2023 Summary **Action**

STRATEGIC GOALS & PLANNING

1. Governor's 2024-25 Budget Proposal **Information**
2. Educational & Facilities Master Plan Refresh 2023 **Action**

OPERATIONAL REVIEW

- 1.

POLICY

1. Revised BP 2015, Student Members;
Revised BP 2740, Board Professional Development;
Revised BP 2745, Board Self-Evaluation; and
Revised AP 2745, Board Self-Evaluation **Action**
2. Revised AP 3560, Alcoholic Beverages **Action**

OTHER ITEMS

- 1.

DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL
November 27, 2023

SUMMARY

MEMBERS PRESENT: Yasmine Andrawis, Byron D. Clift Breland, Jennifer Carey, Jennifer Combs, Christie Diep, Jean Foster, Cherry Li-Bugg, Elaine Loayza, Kathleen McAlister, Cynthia Olivo, Jeremy Peters, Valentina Purtell, Jesus Ramirez Jr., Jeanette Rodriguez, JoAnna Schilling, Chloe Serrano, Pamela Spence, Kai Stearns, and Fred Williams.

VISITORS: Gail Arriola-Nickell, Danielle Davy, Tyler Deacy, David Prokopenko, and Gabrielle Stanco.

Chancellor Byron D. Clift Breland called the meeting to order at 2:04 p.m.

MEETING SUMMARY

Summary: The summary of the October 23, 2023 meeting was approved as submitted.

STRATEGIC GOALS & PLANNING

Educational & Facilities Master Plan Refresh 2023: The creation of the original Educational & Facilities Master Plan (EFMP) was conducted at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. At that time, the document included a plan to revisit the EFMP in three years to better understand how the pandemic reshaped the educational goals and standards. As a result, the District contracted the original EFMP consultants from Brailsford & Dunleavy and MRY to review and refresh the 2020 EFMP and reconstituted the EFMP Steering Committee to guide the process. The purpose of the EFMP refresh is to review and assess the changing educational landscape post pandemic, to update the facilities construction priorities as a result, and to also update any language or initiatives that were outdated or needed revision.

David Prokopenko, Brailsford & Dunleavy consultant, conducted a presentation which provided an executive overview of the purpose, schedule, and process used during the EFMP Refresh. The 2023 Refresh looked at regional trends, student enrollment, instruction modalities, historical trends, job trends, and growing industries. He highlighted that four of the District strategic directions remained the same, but the physical environment direction changed from the focus on facilities, to flexible learning environments. The presentation also included data integration to illustrate how campus spaces and rooms are used districtwide, and recommendations for project priorities for Cypress College, Fullerton College, and NOCE.

During the discussion, members asked why the classified representatives on the committee weren't listed, clarification on the definition of "in-person," and where the K-12 enrollment decline data and the classroom utilization data were from. Members also requested that the presentation be shared so that they could gather feedback from their constituents.

Winter Intersession Survey & Discussion: Kathleen McAlister, Cypress College Academic Senate President, presented this item to share concerns about the language and options provided in the United Faculty intersession survey and to share information regarding the intersession format, timeframes, and offerings at other colleges, and also to discuss the continued need for meaningful faculty consultation regarding intersession options.

Christie Diep, United Faculty President, spoke first and said this agenda item was inappropriate and that the District could face an unfair practice charge for negotiating outside of negotiations. She stated that United Faculty could not engage in the discussion because union business does not belong on a shared governance meeting agenda and that the District cannot directly deal with members under PERB and EERA rules.

Chancellor Byron D. Cliff Breland noted that winter intersession was not negotiated, and that there is a difference between negotiations and the impact.

Kathleen McAlister pointed out that winter intersession has already been discussed by DCC and the focus is on the joint senate resolution regarding consultation. She stated that United Faculty survey suggestions were previously provided at a DCC meeting, but the survey that was distributed was both misleading and leading, and that no opportunity to discuss the survey at the United Faculty meeting was allowed because public comment was canceled.

Chancellor Cliff Breland stated that discussing the process that was followed at a DCC meeting was not appropriate and extended an invitation to meet with the Senate and United Faculty leadership to resolve the matter outside of DCC. He emphasized that he did not want to interfere with negotiations or Ten Plus One matters, but rather sit down and talk.

Kathleen McAlister stated that she is being silenced everywhere and as a result the voice of the Senate is not being heard and being bypassed. She recommended a Collegiality in Action visit from the state academic senate. Christie Diep responded that a CTA visit is also needed.

Chancellor Cliff Breland stressed that no one is being silenced, but instead it is a matter of the appropriate time and place for the discussion and reiterated his willingness to meet.

Sustainability Electric Vehicle Charging Rate: Fred Williams, Vice Chancellor of Finance & Facilities, introduced discussion on electric vehicle charging rates. In an effort to address increasing energy costs across the State, and to be consistent with local Electric Vehicle (EV) charging rates in the City of Fullerton, the District will begin to charge for usage of its EV stations at a rate of \$0.35 per kWh for the first 4 hours and \$5.00 per hour thereafter, to incentivize keeping the space free for other drivers.

The EV charging program at Fullerton College began in 2016 with 6 plugs on the south side of the Lemon Parking Structure; in 2021, another 44 ports were added and a meter was installed to separately track their electricity usage. During the 2021-22 academic school year, the 50 ports were only being utilized 15-22% of the time. As the community returned to campus, that utilization has increased to approximately 63%, and continues to rise each semester. At the beginning of the program in 2016, the EV chargers were free to use. At the time the meter was separated in 2021, it was costing around \$700/month to operate the charging array. Today, they cost an average of \$3,360/month to operate and costs totaled \$40,316.27 for the last 12 months. No fee has been implemented to date. In the community surrounding Fullerton College, there are no free charging ports outside of those reserved for residents of closed off apartment complexes. Most public ports in the neighborhood charge between \$0.35 and \$0.40 per kWh.

The proposed charging rate of \$0.35 per kWh fits within the lower end of this range. Annually, this would generate roughly \$100,000, after 10% is kept by the station vendor, ChargePoint. This would provide enough revenue to pay off the electricity costs associated with the panels, as well as fund maintenance on the equipment.

Tyler Deacy, Fullerton College Director of Sustainability, provided a short presentation highlighting the issues to consider, current Fullerton College usage, operational costs for the past year, what other institutions are currently charging, and a recommendation to model the CSUF system with a public rate and a subsidized rate for students. Any funds collected over the breakeven point would be reinvested in sustainability projects.

During the discussion, Vice Chancellor Fred Williams voiced concern regarding the costs associated with tracking and monitoring costs, future maintenance costs, and the fact that some classified positions are funded with parking lot funds.

Members noted that it would be helpful to know what each kWh per hour costs to determine what the average hourly rate is, that currently there are no idling fees or penalties for staying in the spaces, that employees and students shouldn't receive free charges, and that free charging stations could incentivize employees to drive electric vehicles.

Subsequent to the discussion it was noted that the item would be presented to the Board at the December 12 meeting and would be implemented at their direction.

OPERATIONAL REVIEW

PACE Climate Survey for Community Colleges: Gabrielle Stanco, District Director, Research, Planning and Data Management, and Cherry Li-Bugg, Vice Chancellor, Educational Services and Technology, presented information regarding the PACE survey results from 2023 which was designed by the Belk Center for Community College Leadership and Research and collected employee feedback on the District's mission, leadership, decision-making, and communication as well as the racial climate of our campuses. NOCCCD administered the survey in spring 2021 and spring 2023, so trend comparisons will also be shared and discussed.

During the discussion, members asked if the results are presented to the Board, noted the survey was too long which impacted participation, whether the PACE survey is benchmarked with other California community colleges, whether there has been any movement based on survey participation, and a request that the data presented be shared with members.

Institutional Effectiveness Coordinating Committee Council Restructuring: The Institutional Effectiveness Coordinating Council (IECC) is the District shared governance committee charged with making recommendations to District Consultation Council (DCC) on policies, planning, and other matters related to research, accreditation, and mandated reporting (e.g., MIS). In recent years, IECC has struggled to meet quorum due to low attendance. The return to in-person meetings due to the sunset of the remote Brown Act has compounded attendance challenges. Therefore, it is recommended that DCC consider reconstituting the IECC into an organizational group advising the Vice Chancellor of Educational Services & Technology. This restructuring would allow more flexibility in the format and timing of the meetings but would still allow for valuable input from the constituent groups that are part of the council.

During the discussion, members asked if the committee composition would also change (it would not) and also suggested the following: making attendance mandatory or disbanding the committee, revisiting the purpose to make it more interesting for participants, and keeping IECC because it provides opportunities to engage in discussion which are important.

Ultimately it was agreed to form the “Districtwide Research and Planning Group” as organizational group and keep IECC as is. IECC will meet on an as needed basis when the newly formed group needs to provide a recommendation to DCC.

POLICY

New BP 3830, Flying of National, State, and Commemorative Flags: Chancellor Byron D. Clift Breland led a third reading of proposed BP 3830, Flying of National, State, and Commemorative Flags after having requested constituent group feedback at prior DCC meetings. The draft policy included revisions received from the Cypress College President’s Advisory Council, the Fullerton College Faculty Senate, CSEA, and suggestions from the Cypress College Academic Senate.

Other points that were raised included: the difference between nationally or state recognized flags; the need to reach out to District affinity groups who might not be aware of the current discussions; the raising of the Juneteenth flag which occurs outside of Black History Month; a suggestion to change “months” to “dates” to commemorate groups that occur outside of their designated month; and how flags will be selected.

Chancellor Clift Breland noted that the opportunity to include the operational aspects would come via the corresponding administrative procedure and asked members to view the proposed board policy via that lens.

During the discussion, members reviewed new proposed language in section 3.3 outlining a process for requesting the flying of additional flags which were accepted. Subsequent to the discussion, **there was consensus to approve BP 3830 and forward it to the Board for their consideration.**

OTHER

2024 DCC Meeting Locations: Chancellor Byron D. Clift Breland shared that due to construction at the Anaheim Campus, the first floor of the building must be vacated beginning January 2024. After discussing the possibility of hosting DCC meetings on the campuses on a rotating basis, it was agreed to meet on the tenth floor of the Anaheim Campus in the Resource Library.

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting adjourned at 4:18 p.m.

DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL

Agenda Item Submittal Form

Date: January 16, 2024

From: Fred Williams, Vice Chancellor, Finance and Facilities

Re: Agenda Item for District Consultation Council Meeting of January 22, 2024

1. AGENDA ITEM NAME

Governor’s 2024-25 Budget Proposal

2. AGENDA ITEM ACTION (Please check all that apply.)

Information Only	X	Second Reading	
Review/Discussion		Action	
First Reading		Consent Agenda Item	

3. ESTIMATED TIME REQUIRED FOR PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION: **10 minutes**

4. BRIEF NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF AGENDA ITEM:

On January 10, 2024, the Governor introduced the 2024-25 budget proposal. The [Joint Analysis](#) provided by the State Chancellor’s Office and an [economic overview](#) provided by School Services of California share some insight on the proposal. While staff continue to review the details, some highlights include:

- COLA for apportionment and select categorical programs is 0.76 percent.
- For Community Colleges most of the reductions are handled by drawing down the K-14 Rainy Day Fund for the 2023-24 and 2024-25 fiscal years.
- No change to the timeline for the phase out of the hold harmless provisions. 2024-25 is still scheduled to be the last year that we automatically receive COLA from the state.
- Significant increase to the PERS contribution rate.
- Student housing pullback (NOCCCD was not scheduled to receive any funds).

District and campus staff will be attending the Budget Workshop on January 17 and will present additional information at the DCC meeting.

5. RECOMMENDATION:

Members are asked to receive and review the information on the Governor’s 2024-25 budget proposal.

6. OTHER PEOPLE CONSULTED, INFORMED OR ATTENDING MEETING ABOUT THIS ITEM:

DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL

Agenda Item Submittal Form

Date: January 16, 2024

From: Dr. Cherry Li-Bugg, Vice Chancellor, Educational Services and Technology

Re: Agenda Item for District Consultation Council Meeting of January 22, 2024

1. AGENDA ITEM NAME

Educational and Facilities Master Plan (EFMP) Refresh 2023

2. AGENDA ITEM ACTION (Please check all that apply.)

Information Only		Second Reading	X
Review/Discussion		Action	X
First Reading		Consent Agenda Item	

3. ESTIMATED TIME REQUIRED FOR PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION: **20 minutes**

4. BRIEF NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF AGENDA ITEM:

The original 2020 Educational and Facilities Master Plan (EFMP) was being concluded right at the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic. In the document we outlined a plan to revisit the EFMP in 3 years to better understand how the pandemic has reshaped our educational goals and standards. Therefore, the District contracted with the original EFMP consultants to review and refresh the 2020 EFMP. The purpose of the Refresh is to review and assess the changing educational landscape, post pandemic and to update the facilities construction priorities as a result. We've worked to bring the document to current and update any language or initiatives that were outdated or needed revision. DCC conducted its first reading of the Refresh draft in November 2023. We are bringing the final draft for your review and approval. The draft EFMP is available [via this link](#).

5. RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that DCC approve the 2023 EFMP Refresh.

6. OTHER PEOPLE CONSULTED, INFORMED OR ATTENDING MEETING ABOUT THIS ITEM:

The EFMP Refresh Steering Committee; Chancellor's Staff, campus leadership and stakeholders.

DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL

Agenda Item Submittal Form

Date: January 16, 2024

From: Byron D. Clift Breland, Chancellor

Re: Agenda Item for District Consultation Council Meeting of January 22, 2024

1. AGENDA ITEM NAME

Revised BP 2015, Student Members; Revised BP 2740, Board Professional Development; Revised BP 2745, Board Self-Evaluation; and Revised AP 2745, Board Self-Evaluation

2. AGENDA ITEM ACTION (Please check all that apply.)

Information Only		First Reading	X
Review/Discussion		Action	X
First Reading		Consent Agenda Item	

3. ESTIMATED TIME REQUIRED FOR PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION: **15 minutes**

4. BRIEF NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF AGENDA ITEM:

These Chapter 2 policies were revised to reflect recommendations resulting from the Board of Trustees retreat to address matters related to student trustee membership, Board professional development, and Board self-evaluation.

BP 2015, Student Members: DCC previously reached consensus on the revisions noted in blue; subsequent Board recommendations are noted in red.

BP 2740, Board Professional Development: This policy includes a recommendation to include Board meeting agendas to the list of orientation program topics for new trustees and student trustees, and to include the collective bargaining process as a topic only for new trustees.

BP/AP 2745, Board Self-Evaluation: These policies include recommendations to move the Board annual evaluation process so that the evaluation instrument is distributed and due in March (instead of April) and shared and agendized in April (instead of May).

5. RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that upon DCC consensus, revised AP 2745 be posted on the District website, and revised BP 2015, BP 2740, and BP 2745 be forwarded to the Board for their consideration.

6. OTHER PEOPLE CONSULTED, INFORMED OR ATTENDING MEETING ABOUT THIS ITEM:

Board of Trustees

NOTE: Please forward this form by required dates with all backup material to the Chancellor's Office.

BP 2015 Student Members

Reference:

Education Code Section 72023.5;
Education Code Section 70902

- 1.0 The Board of Trustees shall include ~~two student members~~ **one student member each from Cypress College, Fullerton College, and North Orange Continuing Education to represent the students at large of their campus. Student members shall be elected, and may be recalled, by the students of their campus in accordance with procedures established by the Chancellor.** The term of office shall be one year, commencing June 1. Student members are authorized to cast advisory votes only, which shall not be included in determining the vote required to carry any measure before the Board of Trustees, but shall be recorded in the official minutes of the Board meeting, and shall be taken prior to the other votes. Advisory votes may not be cast on motions related to personnel and labor relations. Student members are expected to gather input and opinions from the students at large, ~~as well as the Associated Students,~~ **and from the student body association of their campus** on any relevant agenda items and provide such input to the Board of Trustees.
- 2.0 To be eligible for election as a student trustee, students shall be enrolled in and maintain a minimum of five (5) semester credit units **or 75 semester noncredit hours** in the District at the time of nomination and throughout the term of service. The student members must maintain a minimum of a cumulative 2.0 grade point average **or Satisfactory Progress (SP) and Pass (P) grades in noncredit classes.** If, on the first day of each semester, or during the term of service, a student member fails to meet the criteria of this policy, the student member shall be disqualified for service on the Board of Trustees. The student member is not required to give up employment with the District.
- 2.1 These requirements apply to the spring and fall semesters only.
- 3.0 If the seat of a student member becomes vacant during their term, the Board of Trustees may authorize the officers of the relevant student body association to appoint an eligible student to serve the remainder of the term in accordance with procedures established by the Chancellor.**
- ~~4.0~~ Student members shall be seated with the Board of Trustees and shall be recognized as full members of the Board at meetings. Student members may not attend closed session. Student members are entitled to participate in discussion of issues and receive all materials presented to members of the Board (except for closed session). Student members shall be entitled to any mileage allowance necessary to attend Board meetings to the same extent as publicly elected trustees.
- ~~4.1~~ Student members may make or second open session motions, except motions underlying personnel and labor relations. Student members are encouraged to participate in the discussion of issues and agenda items in open session.
- ~~5.4.0~~ Student members who attend all Board meetings that they are legally allowed to attend shall receive 50 percent of the compensation afforded to other individual members of the

BP 2015 Student Members

Board of Trustees, pursuant to Education Code Section 72425. Any Board meetings missed by a student member shall result in a pro rata reduction in compensation for that month, except under the following condition: A student member may be paid for the first two meetings from which ~~he/she is~~ **they are** absent in a calendar year if the Board **of Trustees**, by resolution adopted and included in its minutes, finds at the time of the meeting that the student member was ill, on jury duty, performing services outside the meeting for the District, or the absence is due to a hardship deemed acceptable by the Board.

- 6**~~5~~.0 The Board **of Trustees** encourages participation of its student members in trustee-related conferences, activities, and retreats and may approve reasonable expenses that fall within the guidelines of Board policies.
- 7**~~6~~.0 On or before May 15 of each year, the Board **of Trustees** shall consider whether to afford the student members **s** any of the privileges noted in this policy by reviewing it for re-adoption.
- 8.0** **The president of the Board of Trustees shall appoint each year a trustee to serve as mentor to the student members.**

See Administrative Procedure 2015, Student Members; and Board Policy and Administrative Procedures 2105, Election of Student Members.

Date of Adoption: May 10, 2022 Re-adoption
April 27, 2021 Re-adoption
April 28, 2020 Re-adoption
May 9, 2017
April 12, 2016
April 14, 2015
April 8, 2014
April 23, 2013
April 26, 2011
April 27, 2010
May 13, 2008
May 8, 2007
April 11, 2006
June 24, 2003

Date of Last Revision: April 25, 2023
February 12, 2019
May 8, 2012
January 27, 2009
June 14, 2005
April 13, 2004

BP 2740 Board Professional Development

Reference:

ACCJC Accreditation Standard IV.C.9;
WASC/ACS Criterion 2, Indicator 2.4

- 1.0 The Board of Trustees is committed to its professional development and to an ongoing trustee education program that includes new trustee orientation.
 - 1.1 The Board will engage in study sessions, have access to reading materials, and support conference attendance and other activities that foster professional development in the relevant areas: accreditation, board evaluation, fiscal responsibilities, governance, student success, the Brown Act, ethics, diversity, equity, inclusion, anti-racist practices, and CEO and Board relations.
 - 1.2 The Chancellor shall establish an orientation program for new trustees and student trustees that:
 - 1.2.1 Covers topics including respective Board and Chancellor responsibilities, accreditation, the budget, governance, ~~the collective bargaining process,~~ ethics, the Brown Act, ~~and~~ parliamentary procedure and Board meeting agendas, and, for new trustees, the collective bargaining process.
 - 1.2.2 Incorporates publications recommended by such organizations as the Community College League of California and the Association of Community College Trustees.
 - 1.2.3 Includes meetings with the Board President, executive staff, campus leaders, and representatives of constituency groups.

See Administrative Procedure 2740, Board Education.

Date of Adoption: June 24, 2003

Date of Last Revision: November 24, 2020
September 14, 2016 Chancellor's Staff
November 26, 2014 Chancellor's Staff

BP 2745 Board Self-Evaluation

Reference:

ACCJC Accreditation Standard IV.C.10;
WASC/ACS Criterion 2, Indicator 2.3

- 1.0 The Board [of Trustees](#) is committed to assessing its own performance as a board in order to identify its strengths and areas in which it may improve its functioning.
- 2.0 The Board [of Trustees](#) shall conduct an annual evaluation process in ~~April~~ [March](#) and place the results on a regular board meeting agenda for review and appropriate action.

See Administrative Procedure 2745, Board Self-Evaluation.

Date of Adoption: June 24, 2003

Date of Last Revision: February 12, 2019
September 14, 2016 Chancellor's Staff
November 26, 2014, Chancellors Staff
November 25, 2003

DRAFT

AP 2745 Board Self-Evaluation

Reference:

**ACCJC Accreditation Standard IV.C.10;
WASC/ACS Criterion 2, Indicator 2.3**

- 1.0 The following process will be used to conduct the annual self-evaluation of the Board of Trustees:
 - 1.1 An assessment form will be distributed to all Board members and members of the District staff who regularly participate at Board meetings at the first meeting in ~~April~~ March.
 - 1.2 The completed assessment forms shall be submitted to the Chancellor's Office on or before the second meeting in ~~April~~ March.
 - 1.3 The Chancellor's Office shall complete results of the assessment for distribution at the first meeting in ~~May~~ April.
 - 1.4 The assessment results shall be included as an agenda item for review and appropriate action at the second meeting in ~~May~~ April.

Date of Adoption: June 24, 2003

Revision Adopted: April 22, 2019 District Consultation Council
September 14, 2016 Chancellor's Staff
November 25, 2003

DISTRICT CONSULTATION COUNCIL

Agenda Item Submittal Form

Date: January 16, 2024

From: Fred Williams, Vice Chancellor, Finance and Facilities

Re: Agenda Item for District Consultation Council Meeting of January 22, 2024

1. AGENDA ITEM NAME

Revised AP 3560, Alcoholic Beverages

2. AGENDA ITEM ACTION (Please check all that apply.)

Information Only		Second Reading	
Review/Discussion		Action	X
First Reading	X	Consent Agenda Item	

3. ESTIMATED TIME REQUIRED FOR PRESENTATION/DISCUSSION: **5 minutes**

4. BRIEF NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF AGENDA ITEM:

Revisions to AP 3560 were made to incorporate leased and rented facilities for “special events” held off-site.

5. RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that upon DCC consensus, revised AP 3560 be posted on the District Website.

6. OTHER PEOPLE CONSULTED, INFORMED OR ATTENDING MEETING ABOUT THIS ITEM:

Budget Officers and Chancellor’s Staff

AP 3560 Alcoholic Beverages

Reference:

**Business and Professional Code Sections 24045.4, 24045.6, 25608, and 25658;
34 Code of Federal Regulations Part 668.46(b)**

- 1.0 The possession, sale, or the furnishing of alcohol on campus is governed by California state law and these procedures. The possession, sale, consumption, or furnishing of alcohol is controlled by the California Department of Alcohol and Beverage Control. However, the enforcement of alcohol laws on campus is the primary responsibility of the Campus Safety Department. The campus has been designated “Drug Free” and only under certain circumstances is the consumption of alcohol permitted. The possession, sale, manufacture, or distribution of any controlled substance is illegal under both state and federal laws. Such laws are strictly enforced by the Campus Safety Department. Violators are subject to disciplinary action, criminal prosecution, fine, and imprisonment. It is unlawful to sell, furnish, or provide alcohol to a person under the age of 21. The possession of alcohol by anyone under 21 years of age in a public place or a place open to the public is illegal.
- 2.0 It is also a violation of this procedure for anyone to consume or possess alcohol in any public or private areas of campus without prior Board approval. Organizations or groups violating alcohol or substance policies or laws may be subject to sanctions by the District.
- 3.0 Alcoholic beverages on campus or District rented/leased facilities are permitted if:
 - 3.1 The alcoholic beverage is beer or wine for use in connection with a course of instruction, sponsored dinner, or meal demonstration given as part of a culinary arts program at a community college campus, and the instructor or individual has been authorized to acquire, possess, use, sell, or consume them by the Board of Trustees.
 - 3.2 A student at least 18 years of age tastes, but does not swallow or consume, beer or wine for educational purposes as part of the instruction in an enology or brewing degree program, and the beer or wine remains in the control of the instructor.
 - 3.3 The alcoholic beverages are for use during a non-college event at a performing arts facility built on District property and leased to a nonprofit public benefit corporation.
 - 3.4 The alcoholic beverages are for use during a fundraiser held to benefit a nonprofit corporation that has obtained a license under the Business and Professions Code to do so, provided that no alcoholic beverage can be acquired, possessed or used at an athletic contest sponsored by the District.
 - 3.5 The alcoholic beverage is possessed, consumed, or sold pursuant to a license or permit obtained for special events held at a District owned or leased facility ~~the facilities of a public community college~~ during the special event. “Special event” means events that are held on District owned or rented/leased facilities with the permission of the governing board ~~of the community college district~~ that are festivals, shows, private parties, concerts, theatrical productions, and other events ~~held on the premises of the public community college and for which~~ where the

AP 3560 Alcoholic Beverages

principal attendees are members of the general public or invited guests and not students of the public community college.

See Board Policy 3560, Alcoholic Beverages; Board Policy 3550, Drug-Free Environment and Drug Prevention Program; and Administrative Procedure 3550, Drug-Free Environment and Drug Prevention Program.

Date of Adoption: March 23, 2004

Date of Last Revision: February 24, 2020 District Consultation Council
October 26, 2015 District Consultation Council
February 23, 2015, District Consultation Council
August 27, 2012 District Consultation Council
February 9, 2009 Chancellor's Cabinet
April 11, 2005

DRAFT